

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/043,059   | 01/08/2002    | Manfred Spies        | tesa AG 1513-WCG        | G 1513-WCG 4991  |  |
| 27386 759  | 90 08/25/2003 |                      |                         | 5                |  |
| WILLIAM GERSTENZANG<br>NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A.<br>220 EAST 42ND STREET, 30TH FLOOR<br>NEW YORK, NY 10017 |               |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
|  |               |                      | ZIRKER, DANIEL R        |                  |  |
| NEW YORK, N  | 11 10017      |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |               |                      | 1771                    |                  |  |
|  |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |                            |
|---|--|--|--|----------------------------|
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   |  | Group Art Unit   |                            |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears   | on th cover sheet be   | neath the co   | respondence add  | resș-                      |
| Period for Reply  |  |  |  |                            |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   | EXPIRE <u>-3-</u>  | MONTH(S)   | FROM THE MAIL  | NG DATE                    |
| <ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report 16 NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default,</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution and the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul> | bly within the statutory mini<br>expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro<br>te, cause the application to | mum of thirty (30<br>m the mailing da<br>b become ABAN | ) days will be consider<br>te of this communicati<br>DONED (35 U.S.C. § 13 | red timely.<br>on.<br>33). |
| Status  |  |  |  |                            |
| ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  |  |  | ·                          |
| ☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>  |  | •  |  |                            |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.   |  |  | the merits is clo  | sed in                     |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  | •                          |
| □ Claim(s)/ - /6  |  | is/are pe  | ending in the applic   | ation.                     |
| Of the above claim(s)   |  | is/are w   | ithdrawn from cons   | ideration.                 |
| □ Claim(s)  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | is/are all   | lowed.   |                            |
| Claim(s) 1-71, 14-16  |  | is/are re  | jected.  |                            |
| □ Claim(s)  |  |  |  |                            |
| □ Claim(s)  |  | are subj   | ect to restriction or  | election                   |
| Application Papers  |  | requiren   | nent   |                            |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on   | is _ approved  | ☐ disapprove   | d  |                            |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected   | ed to by the Examiner  |  |  |                            |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |                            |
| $\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |                            |
| Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  |  |  |  |                            |
| Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un  | der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)  | –(d).  |  |                            |
| □ All □ Some* □ None of the:  |  |  |  |                            |
| ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  | ceived.  |  | •  |                            |
| ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec  | ceived in Application No   | Ö  | •  |                            |
| $\hfill\Box$ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents   | have been received   |  |  |                            |
| in this national stage application from the International   | • • • •  | ••   |  |                            |
| *Certified copies not received:   |  |  | <u> </u>   | . •                        |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |                            |
| ☐ Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)  | s). <u>4-</u> 🗆 In   | t rview Summ   | ary, PTO-413   |                            |
| ☑ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892   | □ <b>N</b>   | otice of Inform  | al Patent Applicati  | n, PTO-152                 |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Revi w, PTO-948   |  |  |  | • •                        |
| Office Act  | ion Summary  |  |  |                            |



Art Unit 1771

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. More particularly, despite the recent claim amendments quite a few problems still remain. In claim 2, line 2 "low" and "high" ammonia is unduly vague and indefinite, and the Examiner further finds the usage of "type" here and elsewhere to be clearly In claim 3, lines 2 and 3, "different" is unduly vague informal. and indefinite; particularly at the molecular level all chemical compositions are "different". In claim 5, line 2, the phrase "EBC" is unfamiliar to the Examiner. Claim 7 should utilize proper Markush language and in claim 9, line 2 the phrase "physically surface treated" is not understood, and the phrase "pretreated" with a primer is believed more desirably stated such as --coated with a primer--. In claims 11 and 15 the phrase "total solids content" appears to lack antecedent basis. claim 14, line 3 the phrase "other metal" is clearly vague and indefinite.



1771

Art Unit

- AA. Applicants should amend the specification to provide proper support for newly presented claim 16, which formerly appeared to find proper support only in now cancelled claim 13.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-11 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §
  103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1103587A2 Patent Abstract
  taken in view of EP 0688843A1, the application number of which is
  cited on page 2 of applicants' specification. The primary
  reference appears to disclose the identical embodiment to at
  least applicants' broad independent claim except that a resin
  dispersion is utilized instead of applicants' claimed blend with
  a natural rubber latex before being coated and then dried; the
  reference being an example of the co-inventor Spies
  earlier work. The secondary reference, however discloses (note
  particularly page 2, lines 1-45) the fact that such adhesives
  often comprise natural or synthetic rubbers, with a significant
  amount of the solids content comprising rubber, together with



1771

Art Unit

such ingredients as resins, curing agents, antioxidants, fillers and the like, as well as tackifiers. Accordingly, one of ordinary skill, motivated by an expectation of improved adhesive performance properties would either incorporate or substitute the resin containing natural rubber taught by EP -843 into the blend with the butadiene/acrylate dispersion taught by EP -587 and thereby form the claimed adhesive composition and accompanying genus of adhesive tapes. With respect to the dependent claims, these are believed to involve ingredients, treatments and the like which are either expressly taught by the secondary reference or are believed to be obvious modifications to one of ordinary skill, in the absence of unexpected results.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note also Spies, Spies et al. PG Pub, Lee, Makati et al. and Takemoto et al.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit 1771

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Dzirker:cdc

August 19, 2003

DANIEL ZIRKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300

1700

Samil Zirker